

## Role of Teacher Competencies in Promoting Inclusive Practices for Children with Autism Spectrum Disorder

Kamalesh Yadav<sup>1</sup>, Pravendra Kumar Singh<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Kalyanam Karoti, Sarswati Kund Masani, Delhi Link Road, Mathura.

<sup>2</sup>Shekhawati Shikshan Sansthan, Laxmangarh Sikar, Rajasthan

Corresponding Author's Email: [yadavkamlesh911@gmail.com](mailto:yadavkamlesh911@gmail.com)

### Abstract:

*The inclusion of children with Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) in mainstream classrooms requires teachers to demonstrate specific competencies that support diverse learning, communication, and behavioral needs. This paper explores the critical role of teacher competencies in promoting inclusive practices for students with ASD. It emphasizes pedagogical knowledge, skills in differentiated instruction, classroom management, and the use of assistive technologies as essential components of effective teaching. Drawing upon international research and policy frameworks such as India's Rights of Persons with Disabilities Act (2016) and the National Education Policy (2020), the paper highlights how teacher attitudes, professional training, and collaborative practices significantly influence the success of inclusion. It also examines the barriers educators face, including inadequate preparation, resource limitations, and systemic challenges. The findings suggest that strengthening teacher competencies through pre-service and in-service training, reflective practice, and institutional support is key to creating equitable learning environments for children with ASD.*

**Key Words:** Inclusive Practices. Autism Spectrum Disorder

### Introduction:

Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) is a complex neurodevelopmental condition characterized by challenges in communication, social interaction, and behavior patterns. While the prevalence of ASD has increased globally in recent decades, educational systems are increasingly recognizing the necessity of inclusive practices that integrate children with ASD into mainstream classrooms. Inclusion does not merely imply physical placement but involves meaningful participation, engagement, and academic achievement. Achieving this vision depends largely on the competencies of teachers who directly shape the educational experiences of children with ASD.

Teacher competencies in the context of inclusion extend beyond subject knowledge to encompass pedagogical adaptability, understanding of autism, classroom management skills, and the ability to foster positive peer relationships. Research demonstrates that teacher beliefs, attitudes, and skills are decisive factors in determining whether inclusive practices succeed or fail (Jordan, Schwartz, & McGhie-Richmond, 2009). The role of teachers becomes particularly significant in settings where resources are limited and where parents rely heavily on educators to support both academic and social development of their children. Policy frameworks in India, including the Rights of Persons with Disabilities Act (2016) and the National Education Policy (2020), explicitly promote inclusive education. However, successful implementation requires that teachers acquire competencies through structured pre-service and in-service training programs. The UNESCO Salamanca Statement (1994) and subsequent international guidelines also stress that teacher preparedness is central to inclusive education. Despite these mandates, gaps remain in teacher training, professional support, and resource availability, which often hinder inclusive practices for children with ASD. This paper examines the role of teacher competencies in promoting inclusive practices for children with ASD by focusing on four key areas: knowledge and pedagogical skills for autism-inclusive teaching; classroom management and behavioral strategies; use of assistive technologies and instructional adaptations; and collaborative practices with parents and professionals. Through this analysis, it highlights the importance of developing a comprehensive framework for teacher competencies that ensures equity, participation, and quality learning for children with ASD..

### **Knowledge and Pedagogical Skills for Autism-Inclusive Teaching**

Teacher competencies in the area of knowledge and pedagogy are the cornerstone of effective inclusive practices for children with Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD). Knowledge refers not only to an understanding of autism as a developmental condition but also to awareness of the diversity within the spectrum. Pedagogical skills, meanwhile, involve the capacity to translate this knowledge into effective classroom strategies that support the learning, communication, and social needs of children with ASD. Without this foundation, inclusive education risks becoming tokenistic, where students with ASD are placed in classrooms without receiving meaningful support. Understanding ASD begins with recognizing its heterogeneity. The spectrum encompasses individuals with varying degrees of communication abilities, cognitive skills, and behavioral characteristics. Some children may have advanced verbal skills but struggle with social reciprocity, while others may be nonverbal and require augmentative communication devices. Teachers must therefore be competent in identifying these differences and designing pedagogical approaches that align with the unique profiles of their students. According to the American Psychiatric Association (2013), the DSM-5 characterizes ASD by deficits in social communication and restricted, repetitive behaviors. For educators, this diagnostic framework is important because it highlights the areas where pedagogical interventions are most required—communication, social interaction, and behavioral regulation.

Pedagogical skills for teaching children with ASD must be rooted in evidence-based practices. Research consistently supports the use of structured teaching methods such as Applied Behavior Analysis (ABA), TEACCH (Treatment and Education of Autistic and Communication related handicapped Children), and Social Stories as effective interventions in classroom settings (Odom et al., 2010). While teachers are not expected to replicate clinical interventions in their entirety, familiarity with these methods allows them to incorporate elements such as reinforcement strategies, visual schedules, and task analysis into everyday classroom activities. For instance, a visual schedule can help a child with ASD anticipate transitions between tasks, reducing anxiety and improving engagement. Differentiated instruction is another essential pedagogical skill. Teachers must design lessons that accommodate varying levels of ability within the classroom, ensuring that children with ASD are neither excluded nor overwhelmed. This may involve simplifying language, using visual aids, or breaking down tasks into smaller steps. Tomlinson (2014) emphasizes that differentiation in inclusive classrooms benefits not only children with disabilities but also other learners by providing multiple entry points into the curriculum. For children with ASD, differentiation ensures that abstract concepts are made concrete and that sensory sensitivities are taken into account. For example, a science lesson may be adapted by using hands-on experiments rather than lengthy verbal explanations, thereby enabling active participation.

Language and communication skills form another critical area of teacher competency. Many children with ASD face challenges in expressive and receptive communication, requiring alternative approaches to instruction. Teachers must be trained to use augmentative and alternative communication (AAC) systems, such as picture exchange communication systems (PECS) or speech-generating devices, which allow nonverbal students to express themselves effectively (Ganz et al., 2012). Teachers' willingness to adopt such methods not only enhances academic participation but also validates the voices of children who might otherwise be excluded from classroom discourse. Pedagogical competence also requires sensitivity to sensory processing difficulties, which are common among children with ASD. Over- or under-responsiveness to sensory stimuli such as noise, light, or touch can significantly affect a child's ability to focus and learn. Teachers must recognize these challenges and make reasonable classroom adjustments, such as providing noise-canceling headphones, creating quiet zones, or avoiding fluorescent lighting. Dunn and Westman (1997) note that sensory-friendly environments improve both attention and emotional regulation in students with ASD. A teacher's ability to design and maintain such environments reflects a crucial intersection of knowledge and pedagogy in inclusive practices. Cultural competence is an often-overlooked dimension of teacher knowledge that directly influences pedagogy. In contexts such as India, where awareness about ASD is still emerging, cultural beliefs and stigmas may shape parental expectations and community perceptions. Teachers who are culturally sensitive can navigate these complexities by fostering respectful communication with families and contextualizing interventions in ways that are acceptable within local traditions (Daley, 2004). For example, incorporating parental narratives into the design of classroom strategies ensures that teaching methods resonate with the child's home environment.

Professional preparation programs play a decisive role in equipping teachers with the necessary knowledge and skills. Studies show that pre-service teacher education often provides limited exposure to special education, leaving graduates underprepared to handle the complexities of inclusive classrooms (Sharma & Sokal, 2016). In-service training, mentoring, and continuous professional development are therefore indispensable for building pedagogical competence. Workshops on ASD-specific strategies, classroom simulations, and collaboration with special educators can significantly enhance teacher preparedness. Jordan, Schwartz, and McGhie-Richmond (2009) found that teachers who undergo specialized training demonstrate more positive attitudes toward inclusion and higher efficacy in managing the challenges associated with ASD. Reflective practice is another competency that strengthens the link between knowledge and pedagogy. Teachers who engage in reflective journaling, peer discussions, and self-assessment are better able to identify areas of success and those requiring improvement. Reflective practice encourages educators to adapt their teaching styles in response to student feedback and observed progress. Schön's (1983) concept of the "reflective practitioner" is particularly relevant here, as it emphasizes the iterative nature of professional growth in complex, real-world contexts. For teachers working with children with ASD, reflection may involve analyzing behavioral triggers, experimenting with instructional strategies, and evaluating their effectiveness over time. Despite the importance of these competencies, significant barriers remain. Many teachers report feeling underprepared to teach children with ASD due to inadequate training or lack of access to resources (Emam & Farrell, 2009). Large class sizes, rigid curricula, and time constraints further limit their ability to implement individualized strategies. Additionally, in low-resource settings, teachers may not have access to AAC devices or specialized materials, forcing them to improvise with limited tools. These challenges highlight the need for systemic reforms that prioritize teacher training, resource allocation, and institutional support for inclusive education.

Knowledge and pedagogical skills are not static; they must evolve with emerging research and educational technologies. Advances in digital tools, such as interactive whiteboards, online learning platforms, and virtual reality, present new opportunities for teaching children with ASD. Teachers must therefore remain open to lifelong learning, continually updating their competencies to reflect current best practices. Institutions must also support this evolution by integrating special education competencies into mainstream teacher education curricula, ensuring that all teachers, not only specialists, are prepared to teach inclusively. When teachers possess strong knowledge of autism and pedagogical skills tailored to inclusion, children with ASD are more likely to experience success in mainstream classrooms. They benefit from instruction that is adapted to their needs, communication that validates their voices, and environments that reduce barriers to participation. These competencies also foster positive peer interactions, as teachers can mediate social exchanges and create opportunities for collaborative learning. Ultimately, the role of teacher knowledge and pedagogy is foundational in transforming inclusion from an abstract policy ideal into a lived reality that empowers children with ASD to achieve their fullest potential.

### **Classroom Management and Behavioral Strategies for Inclusive Practices**

Effective classroom management is a fundamental competency for teachers who seek to promote inclusive practices for children with Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD). Unlike traditional models of discipline that emphasize compliance, classroom management in inclusive settings requires sensitivity to the distinctive needs of learners, particularly those with autism. The unpredictable nature of ASD-related behaviors—ranging from sensory sensitivities and repetitive movements to difficulties in communication and social interaction—demands a proactive, flexible, and evidence-based approach. Teachers must cultivate environments where children with ASD feel secure, respected, and supported while simultaneously maintaining instructional continuity for the entire class. Central to classroom management for children with ASD is the recognition that behaviors often communicate unmet needs rather than intentional disruption. Teachers who adopt a functional perspective of behavior can identify underlying triggers and design strategies that address root causes rather than surface symptoms. The functional behavior assessment (FBA) framework, widely used in special education, enables teachers to analyze antecedents, behaviors, and consequences to determine why a behavior occurs (Sugai et al., 2000). For instance, a child who exhibits aggression during group work may be experiencing anxiety due to difficulties in social communication. By understanding the function of the behavior, teachers can replace punitive measures with targeted supports such as visual communication aids or structured group roles.

Proactive classroom management emphasizes the creation of predictable routines and structured environments. Children with ASD often thrive in settings where transitions, expectations, and tasks are clearly defined. Visual schedules, checklists, and timers help reduce anxiety by making the flow of classroom activities transparent. According to Dettmer, Simpson, Myles, and Ganz (2000), the use of visual supports significantly improves task completion and reduces behavioral outbursts among students with ASD. Teachers competent in designing such supports demonstrate their ability to anticipate challenges and prevent problems before they escalate. Another effective strategy is the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports (PBIS). PBIS is a framework that emphasizes reinforcement of desired behaviors rather than punishment of undesirable ones. Teachers implementing PBIS identify target behaviors, teach them explicitly, and provide consistent reinforcement when they are demonstrated. For example, a teacher may use token economies where students earn points for raising hands before speaking, later exchanging them for preferred activities. Research shows that PBIS not only reduces challenging behaviors but also fosters a more positive classroom climate for all students, including those with ASD (Carr et al., 2002). Social skills training is an integral aspect of classroom management for children with ASD. Difficulties in interpreting social cues often result in misunderstandings, isolation, or conflicts with peers. Teachers can incorporate structured social skills programs into the curriculum, using role plays, modeling, and peer-mediated interventions.



Peer buddy systems, where typically developing students provide guidance and companionship, not only support social development in children with ASD but also enhance empathy and inclusivity among peers (Kamps et al., 1998). Competent teachers recognize that behavioral strategies must extend beyond managing disruptive behaviors to fostering social participation and friendship-building.

Sensory regulation strategies are also critical components of behavioral management. Sensory sensitivities are common among children with ASD, with some being overwhelmed by noise, light, or tactile stimuli. Teachers must identify sensory triggers and implement accommodations such as providing sensory breaks, offering fidget tools, or creating calm corners. Pfeiffer, Koenig, Kinnealey, Sheppard, and Henderson (2011) demonstrate that sensory integration interventions improve self-regulation and reduce maladaptive behaviors in students with ASD. Teachers who are attuned to these needs show competence in creating environments that minimize distress and maximize engagement. Crisis management skills are sometimes necessary, as children with ASD may engage in behaviors that pose risks to themselves or others. Teachers must be trained in de-escalation techniques, such as using calm voices, reducing demands, and providing safe spaces. Importantly, crisis management should always prioritize dignity and safety over control. Professional guidelines emphasize that restraint and seclusion should be avoided unless absolutely necessary, and even then, only as temporary measures (National Autism Center, 2015). Competent teachers prepare for crises by developing individualized safety plans in collaboration with specialists and parents, ensuring consistency and transparency in responses. Collaboration is a recurring theme in classroom management. Teachers cannot manage complex behavioral challenges in isolation; they require input from psychologists, occupational therapists, and special educators. For instance, occupational therapists may provide strategies to address sensory issues, while psychologists may design behavioral intervention plans based on FBA results. Parents, too, play a crucial role, as they can share insights about triggers and successful strategies used at home. Collaborative classroom management ensures that children with ASD experience consistent expectations across contexts, reinforcing learning and reducing confusion.

Professional attitudes significantly shape the effectiveness of classroom management. Teachers who perceive behaviors as willful misbehavior may resort to punitive measures that exacerbate problems, whereas those who view behaviors as communication are more likely to employ supportive strategies. Jordan, Schwartz, and McGhie-Richmond (2009) found that teachers' attitudes toward inclusion strongly influence their classroom management approaches, with positive attitudes correlating with greater use of proactive strategies and student-centered interventions. Teacher competencies, therefore, are not limited to technical skills but extend to mindset and professional ethos. Despite the availability of evidence-based strategies, challenges persist in implementing effective classroom management for children with ASD. Large class sizes often prevent teachers from providing individualized support, while rigid curricula limit the flexibility needed for inclusive practices. In resource-constrained settings, teachers may lack access to visual supports, sensory materials, or specialist guidance.

Additionally, some schools emphasize academic outcomes over social and behavioral goals, undervaluing the importance of social participation and self-regulation for students with ASD. These systemic barriers highlight the need for institutional reforms that prioritize inclusive classroom environments. Teacher preparation is key to overcoming these challenges. Pre-service and in-service training programs must include modules on behavioral management specific to ASD, equipping teachers with practical strategies and theoretical understanding. Simulation exercises, classroom observations, and mentorship programs can enhance teachers' ability to respond effectively to real-life challenges. Evidence suggests that teachers who undergo specialized training report higher confidence and efficacy in managing behaviors associated with ASD (Emam & Farrell, 2009). Schools that invest in continuous professional development cultivate a workforce that is resilient, adaptable, and competent in promoting inclusive practices.

The integration of technology offers additional opportunities for classroom management. Applications that provide visual schedules, behavior tracking tools, and reinforcement systems streamline management tasks and allow for data-driven decision-making. For instance, digital platforms can record frequency and duration of behaviors, generating reports that inform interventions. Research by Bouck (2016) indicates that technology-supported behavioral management improves both efficiency for teachers and engagement for students. Teachers competent in leveraging technology extend their capacity to individualize support and maintain accountability in inclusive classrooms. Classroom management for children with ASD must ultimately balance individual needs with collective learning. Teachers who succeed in this balance create environments where all students, regardless of ability, experience safety, predictability, and encouragement. They recognize that behavioral strategies are not ancillary but central to inclusion, as they determine whether a child with ASD can participate meaningfully in academic and social activities. By combining proactive planning, evidence-based interventions, collaboration, and reflective practice, teachers establish competencies that transform inclusive education from aspiration to reality.

### **Use of Assistive Technologies and Instructional Adaptations for Inclusion of Children with ASD**

The integration of assistive technologies and instructional adaptations represents one of the most transformative areas of teacher competencies in promoting inclusive practices for children with Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD). While knowledge and classroom management provide the foundation for inclusion, it is through technology and instructional flexibility that children with ASD are empowered to access curriculum, communicate effectively, and participate meaningfully in learning activities. Teachers competent in these areas not only bridge barriers created by autism-related challenges but also expand opportunities for autonomy, social interaction, and academic achievement.

Assistive technology (AT) encompasses a broad spectrum of tools, ranging from low-tech supports like visual cue cards to high-tech devices such as speech-generating software and interactive applications. For children with ASD, communication is often one of the most significant hurdles, with many students experiencing delays or absence of verbal speech. Teachers trained in using augmentative and alternative communication (AAC) systems can provide access to expressive language through tools like the Picture Exchange Communication System (PECS), communication boards, or tablet-based applications such as Proloquo2Go. Research demonstrates that AAC interventions not only enhance functional communication but also reduce frustration-related behaviors by giving students reliable ways to express needs and emotions (Ganz et al., 2012). Teacher competence in modeling and integrating AAC into daily routines is critical to ensuring that these tools become naturalized within classroom interactions.

In addition to communication devices, sensory regulation tools are increasingly recognized as essential assistive technologies. Many children with ASD experience sensory processing difficulties, leading to overstimulation, distraction, or withdrawal in traditional classroom environments. Teachers competent in identifying sensory needs can provide headphones to reduce noise, fidget tools to promote self-regulation, or weighted blankets for calming effects. Pfeiffer et al. (2011) found that sensory-based interventions improved adaptive behavior and attention span among children with autism. The role of the teacher is not simply to provide these tools but to integrate them thoughtfully into instructional practices so that their use enhances learning without creating dependency or stigma. Instructional adaptations extend beyond the use of devices to include changes in how content is presented, taught, and assessed. Teachers with strong competencies in this area understand that inclusion does not mean lowering expectations but restructuring pedagogy to ensure accessibility. For example, when teaching abstract concepts in mathematics, teachers might use visual supports, manipulatives, or digital simulations to concretize ideas. When evaluating learning, alternative assessments such as oral presentations, portfolios, or project-based tasks can be employed in place of traditional written exams. According to Tomlinson (2014), differentiation in process, product, and content ensures that all students engage meaningfully with curriculum goals while learning through methods suited to their abilities. Digital technologies provide unique opportunities for instructional adaptation. Interactive whiteboards, multimedia presentations, and gamified learning platforms cater to the visual and experiential learning strengths often observed in children with ASD. Educational apps designed with structured, repetitive formats can help reinforce skills in literacy and numeracy, while virtual reality (VR) tools are being explored for teaching social skills in safe, controlled environments. Research by Grynszpan, Weiss, Perez-Diaz, and Gal (2014) indicates that computer-based interventions improve attention, motivation, and generalization of skills among children with autism. Teachers who are competent in selecting and adapting these technologies enhance both the efficiency and effectiveness of inclusion.



The use of video modeling represents another evidence-based adaptation for students with ASD. Video modeling involves showing learners videos of individuals performing target behaviors or skills, which the learners then imitate. This strategy is particularly effective for teaching social and functional skills, such as greeting peers or completing multi-step tasks. Bellini and Akullian (2007) conducted a meta-analysis showing that video modeling significantly improves social communication and daily living skills among individuals with autism. Teachers who incorporate video modeling into instructional routines demonstrate a capacity to leverage technology in ways that directly align with developmental goals in IEPs.

Instructional adaptations also include environmental modifications, which may be as simple as altering seating arrangements, reducing visual clutter, or providing quiet spaces within the classroom. Such adaptations recognize that inclusion is not solely about academic content but also about creating environments conducive to engagement and comfort. Teachers competent in environmental adaptations exercise creativity and flexibility, balancing the needs of children with ASD with the collective functioning of the classroom. These competencies are particularly crucial in resource-constrained contexts where high-tech devices may not be available, and low-tech environmental adjustments become the primary means of adaptation. Despite the potential of assistive technologies and instructional adaptations, barriers to effective implementation persist. Many teachers report limited training in identifying appropriate tools or integrating them into pedagogy. According to Al-Azawei, Serenelli, and Lundqvist (2016), successful technology adoption depends not only on availability but also on teacher readiness and confidence. In schools where resources are scarce, access to high-tech AAC devices or specialized software is often limited, creating inequities in inclusion. Furthermore, some educators express concern that reliance on technology might isolate students with ASD from their peers, underscoring the importance of balancing individualized supports with opportunities for shared learning.

Parental involvement is a crucial factor in the success of assistive technologies and adaptations. Teachers must collaborate with parents to ensure consistency between home and school, as devices or strategies used in isolation often fail to achieve sustained outcomes. Training parents in AAC or digital tools enables children to practice communication across contexts, thereby enhancing generalization of skills. Studies by Blackwell, Lauricella, and Wartella (2014) emphasize that parental engagement in technology use significantly improves children's developmental outcomes, highlighting the interconnected role of families and teachers in inclusion. The Indian context presents both challenges and innovations in this domain. While many schools lack advanced technologies, grassroots initiatives and NGOs have introduced cost-effective adaptations such as picture cards, locally developed mobile apps, and resource kits for teachers. Bhardwaj (2020) notes that community-driven solutions are making assistive technologies more accessible in rural areas, though scalability remains a concern. Teachers who demonstrate adaptability in using both high-tech and low-tech tools showcase competencies that transcend resource limitations, reflecting professional creativity and commitment.

Professional development programs are essential for equipping teachers with the skills to use assistive technologies and instructional adaptations effectively. Workshops, online courses, and peer learning networks can provide exposure to new tools, strategies, and case studies. Competence is not merely technical but also ethical, requiring teachers to respect student privacy, avoid over-reliance on devices, and ensure that adaptations promote dignity and independence. Reflective practice, where teachers critically evaluate the impact of technologies and adaptations on learning outcomes, is equally important for continuous improvement. The broader significance of teacher competencies in this area lies in their potential to shift educational paradigms. When teachers integrate assistive technologies and instructional adaptations, they move classrooms closer to the vision of universal design for learning (UDL), which advocates creating curricula accessible to all learners from the outset (Rose & Dalton, 2009). UDL reduces the need for retroactive accommodations by embedding multiple means of representation, engagement, and expression into teaching. Teachers competent in UDL principles exemplify inclusive practice, ensuring that children with ASD and their peers learn together in equitable environments. Ultimately, assistive technologies and instructional adaptations function as bridges between the challenges posed by ASD and the opportunities of mainstream education. Teacher competencies in this area transform inclusion from an aspiration into a tangible reality by ensuring that students with ASD can communicate, participate, and succeed academically. By combining technical knowledge, pedagogical flexibility, and ethical commitment, teachers create learning environments that value diversity while fostering independence and achievement in children with autism.

### **Collaborative Practices with Parents and Professionals in Supporting Inclusive Education for Children with ASD**

The inclusion of children with Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) in mainstream classrooms is rarely successful when teachers act in isolation. The complexity of autism, with its diverse manifestations in communication, socialization, and behavior, necessitates a team-based approach where teachers, parents, and professionals from multiple disciplines work collaboratively. Teacher competencies in fostering and sustaining such collaboration are therefore indispensable to inclusive practices. Collaboration ensures that strategies for academic, social, and behavioral development are coherent, consistent, and reinforced across school, home, and therapeutic settings.

Parents are often the primary advocates for children with ASD and possess deep knowledge of their child's strengths, challenges, and preferences. Their insights are invaluable in shaping individualized education plans (IEPs) and day-to-day classroom strategies. Teachers who establish trusting relationships with parents create channels for the exchange of information that enrich educational planning. For example, parents may inform teachers about sensory triggers or calming techniques that are effective at home, which can then be adapted for use in the classroom.

Fish (2008) emphasizes that when parents feel heard and respected during IEP meetings, their involvement increases, leading to greater consistency between home and school interventions. Competent teachers understand that collaboration with parents is not ancillary but central to the educational success of children with ASD. Collaboration also requires sensitivity to the socio-cultural contexts in which families live. In many communities, particularly in India, stigmas and misconceptions about autism persist, often resulting in parental anxiety or reluctance to disclose difficulties. Teachers who are culturally competent can navigate these challenges by fostering respectful dialogue and creating inclusive school cultures that validate parental concerns (Daley, 2004). For instance, providing workshops for parents on evidence-based practices not only empowers families but also reduces the sense of isolation many parents experience. By positioning parents as partners rather than passive recipients of professional advice, teachers strengthen the fabric of inclusion. Professionals from multiple disciplines bring specialized expertise that complements teachers' classroom practices. Psychologists contribute through diagnostic assessments and behavioral interventions, speech-language pathologists address communication challenges, and occupational therapists focus on sensory and motor development. Special educators often act as bridges, supporting mainstream teachers with adapted instructional strategies. Teachers competent in collaboration actively seek input from these professionals, translating clinical recommendations into classroom practices. According to Friend and Cook (2016), collaboration is most effective when responsibilities are clearly defined, communication is ongoing, and mutual respect underpins professional interactions.

Co-teaching models represent a formalized approach to collaboration between general and special educators. In this model, both teachers share responsibility for planning, delivering, and assessing instruction in a classroom that includes students with ASD. Murawski and Swanson's (2001) meta-analysis highlights that co-teaching improves academic outcomes for students with disabilities while also enhancing professional growth among teachers. For children with ASD, co-teaching provides access to both specialized supports and mainstream learning opportunities, reducing the risk of segregation. Teachers who are competent in co-teaching not only demonstrate flexibility in instruction but also embody inclusive values that prioritize shared responsibility. Effective collaboration also extends to the design and monitoring of IEPs. Regular meetings involving teachers, parents, and professionals provide platforms to review progress, identify challenges, and adjust strategies. Yell et al. (2017) argue that collaborative IEP development ensures accountability and creates individualized plans that are realistic and comprehensive. Teachers skilled in facilitating such meetings encourage equal participation, ensuring that parents' voices carry as much weight as professional recommendations. This democratic process strengthens the legitimacy of IEPs and increases the likelihood of faithful implementation. Technology has expanded the possibilities for collaborative practices, especially in contexts where physical meetings are difficult. Online platforms allow teachers to share progress reports, video demonstrations of classroom interventions, and resources with parents and professionals.

Video conferencing enables specialists to provide guidance to teachers in remote schools, ensuring that children with ASD benefit from expert input even in resource-constrained areas (Bouck, 2016). Teachers who are adept at using digital tools for collaboration extend the reach of inclusive practices beyond geographical and logistical barriers. Collaboration is not without challenges. Differences in professional philosophies, scheduling conflicts, and unclear role boundaries can impede effective teamwork. Teachers may feel overwhelmed when expected to coordinate with multiple specialists while managing classroom responsibilities. Parents, too, may experience frustration when professional jargon dominates discussions, making them feel excluded. Blue-Banning et al. (2004) identify trust, respect, communication, and commitment as the core dimensions of successful collaboration. Teacher competencies in communication, empathy, and conflict resolution are therefore as critical as technical skills in pedagogy or behavior management.

In the Indian context, collaborative practices are evolving under the influence of policy reforms and grassroots initiatives. The Rights of Persons with Disabilities Act (2016) and the National Education Policy (2020) emphasize parental involvement and multidisciplinary teamwork. However, systemic barriers such as shortages of trained specialists, limited resources, and hierarchical school cultures often constrain collaboration. Community-based rehabilitation models, which involve NGOs, local volunteers, and resource centers, have emerged as effective alternatives to purely school-based collaboration (Koul & Nayar, 2019). Teachers who engage with such community networks broaden their capacity to support children with ASD by drawing on collective resources. Professional development plays a pivotal role in strengthening teachers' collaborative competencies. Training programs that simulate IEP meetings, role-play conflict resolution, and expose teachers to interdisciplinary teamwork prepare them for real-world challenges. Reflective practice further enhances collaboration, as teachers learn to critically evaluate their interactions with parents and professionals, identifying areas for improvement. Jordan, Schwartz, and McGhie-Richmond (2009) note that teachers with positive attitudes toward collaboration are more likely to implement inclusive strategies effectively, underscoring the interplay between professional disposition and competence. The benefits of collaborative practices extend beyond immediate academic outcomes. When teachers, parents, and professionals work together, children with ASD experience consistency across settings, reducing confusion and reinforcing learning. Collaboration also fosters advocacy, as unified stakeholders are better positioned to demand resources, policy changes, and systemic reforms. For parents, collaboration reduces stress and builds confidence, as they feel supported by a network of professionals committed to their child's success. For teachers, collaboration enhances professional growth, exposing them to diverse perspectives and strategies that enrich their practice. Ultimately, collaborative practices represent the collective dimension of teacher competencies in inclusive education. They highlight that no single teacher, however skilled, can meet the complex needs of children with ASD alone.

Teachers competent in collaboration create ecosystems of support that extend beyond the classroom, integrating families, specialists, and communities into the educational journey. By doing so, they transform inclusion from a classroom-based intervention into a holistic commitment to equity and participation for children with autism.

## References:

1. Al-Azawei, A., Serenelli, F., & Lundqvist, K. (2016). Universal Design for Learning (UDL): A content analysis of peer-reviewed journal papers from 2012 to 2015. *Journal of the Scholarship of Teaching and Learning*, 16(3), 39–56. <https://doi.org/10.14434/josotl.v16i3.19295>
2. American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). American Psychiatric Publishing.
3. Bellini, S., & Akullian, J. (2007). A meta-analysis of video modeling and video self-modeling interventions for children and adolescents with autism spectrum disorders. *Exceptional Children*, 73(3), 264–287. <https://doi.org/10.1177/001440290707300301>
4. Blackwell, C. K., Lauricella, A. R., & Wartella, E. (2014). Factors influencing digital technology use in early childhood education. *Computers & Education*, 77, 82–90. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2014.04.013>
5. Blue-Banning, M., Summers, J. A., Frankland, H. C., Nelson, L. L., & Beegle, G. (2004). Dimensions of family and professional partnerships: Constructive guidelines for collaboration. *Exceptional Children*, 70(2), 167–184. <https://doi.org/10.1177/001440290407000203>
6. Bouck, E. C. (2016). Assistive technology and mathematics education: Reports from the field. *Journal of Special Education Technology*, 31(1), 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0162643416633330>
7. Carr, E. G., Horner, R. H., Turnbull, A. P., Marquis, J. G., McLaughlin, D. M., McAtee, M. L., ... Braddock, D. (2002). Positive behavior support: Evolution of an applied science. *Journal of Positive Behavior Interventions*, 4(1), 4–16. <https://doi.org/10.1177/109830070200400102>
8. Daley, T. C. (2004). From symptom recognition to diagnosis: Children with autism in urban India. *Social Science & Medicine*, 58(7), 1323–1335. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0277-9536\(03\)00330-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0277-9536(03)00330-7)
9. Dettmer, S., Simpson, R. L., Myles, B. S., & Ganz, J. B. (2000). The use of visual supports to facilitate transitions of students with autism. *Focus on Autism and Other Developmental Disabilities*, 15(3), 163–169. <https://doi.org/10.1177/108835760001500307>
10. Dunn, W., & Westman, K. (1997). The sensory profile: The performance of a national sample of children without disabilities. *American Journal of Occupational Therapy*, 51(1), 25–34. <https://doi.org/10.5014/ajot.51.1.25>



11. Emam, M. M., & Farrell, P. (2009). Tensions experienced by teachers and their views of support for pupils with autism spectrum disorders in mainstream schools. *European Journal of Special Needs Education*, 24(4), 407–422. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08856250903223070>
12. Fish, W. W. (2008). The IEP meeting: Perceptions of parents of students who receive special education services. *Preventing School Failure*, 53(1), 8–14. <https://doi.org/10.3200/PSFL.53.1.8-14>
13. Friend, M., & Cook, L. (2016). *Interactions: Collaboration skills for school professionals* (8th ed.). Pearson.
14. Ganz, J. B., Davis, J. L., Lund, E. M., Goodwyn, F. D., & Simpson, R. L. (2012). Meta-analysis of PECS with individuals with ASD: Investigation of targeted versus non-targeted outcomes, participant characteristics, and implementation phase. *Research in Developmental Disabilities*, 33(2), 406–418. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ridd.2011.09.023>
15. Grynszpan, O., Weiss, P. L., Perez-Diaz, F., & Gal, E. (2014). Innovative technology-based interventions for autism spectrum disorders: A meta-analysis. *Autism*, 18(4), 346–361. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1362361313476767>
16. Jordan, A., Schwartz, E., & McGhie-Richmond, D. (2009). Preparing teachers for inclusive classrooms. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 25(4), 535–542. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2009.02.010>
17. Kamps, D., Barbetta, P., Leonard, B. R., & Delquadri, J. (1998). Classwide peer tutoring: An integration strategy to improve reading skills and promote peer interactions among students with autism and other developmental disabilities. *Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis*, 27(1), 49–61. <https://doi.org/10.1901/jaba.1994.27-49>
18. Koul, S., & Nayar, K. R. (2019). Community-based rehabilitation for inclusive education in India: Potentials and challenges. *Disability, CBR and Inclusive Development*, 30(1), 87–102. <https://doi.org/10.5463/DCID.v30i1.739>
19. Murawski, W. W., & Swanson, H. L. (2001). A meta-analysis of co-teaching research: Where are the data? *Remedial and Special Education*, 22(5), 258–267. <https://doi.org/10.1177/074193250102200501>
20. National Autism Center. (2015). *National standards project, phase 2*. Randolph, MA: National Autism Center.
21. Pfeiffer, B., Koenig, K., Kinnealey, M., Sheppard, M., & Henderson, L. (2011). Effectiveness of sensory integration interventions in children with autism spectrum disorders: A pilot study. *American Journal of Occupational Therapy*, 65(1), 76–85. <https://doi.org/10.5014/ajot.2011.09205>
22. Rose, D. H., & Dalton, B. (2009). Learning to read in the digital age. *Mind, Brain, and Education*, 3(2), 74–83. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1751-228X.2009.01057.x>
23. Schön, D. A. (1983). *The reflective practitioner: How professionals think in action*. Basic Books.
24. Sharma, U., & Sokal, L. (2016). Can teachers' self-reported efficacy, concerns, attitudes and beliefs predict their actual inclusive classroom practices? *Australian Journal of Teacher Education*, 41(9), 1–20. <https://doi.org/10.14221/ajte.2016v41n9.1>

25. Sugai, G., Horner, R. H., Dunlap, G., Hieneman, M., Lewis, T. J., Nelson, C. M., ... Ruef, M. (2000). Applying positive behavior support and functional behavioral assessment in schools. *Journal of Positive Behavior Interventions*, 2(3), 131–143. <https://doi.org/10.1177/109830070000200302>
26. Tomlinson, C. A. (2014). *The differentiated classroom: Responding to the needs of all learners* (2nd ed.). ASCD.
27. Yell, M. L., Katsiyannis, A., Ennis, R. P., & Losinski, M. L. (2017). Individualized Education Programs and special education programming for students with disabilities in urban schools. *Fordham Urban Law Journal*, 44(2), 489–510.